NOV 2 6 2002

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE action Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## **TRANSMITTAL FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	09/492,811				
Filing Date	01/28/2000				
First Named Inventor	John W, Becker				
Group Art Unit	3721				
Examiner Name	H. Desai				
Attorney Docket Number	7436.100				

Total Number	of Pages in This Subm	nission 4	Attorney Docket Number	7436.100				
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)								
Extension of Time I  Express Abandonn  Information Disclos  Certified Copy of P Document(s)  Response to Missir Incomplete Applica	d y eclaration(s) Request ment Request sure Statement riority ng Parts/	Drawing Licensir Petition Petition Provisio Change Address Termina Reques	to Convert to a nal Application of Attorney, Revocation of Correspondence	After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Response/Request for Reconsideration  RECEIVED NOV 2 7 2002 TECHNOLOGY CENTER R370				
	SIGNATU	IRE OF APPLI	CANT, ATTORNEY, OR A	AGENT				
Firm or Individual name	Thomas P. Lin	iak						
Signature	Thomas	1 Li	in C					
Date	11/26/2002							
		CERTIFICA	ATE OF MAILING					
I hereby certify that this cor	respondence is being	deposited with th	e United States Postal Servi	ce with sufficient postage as first class				

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING								
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited wit mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, V				class				
Typed or printed name								
Signature		Date						

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

R. Kent 12/2/02 12/2/02 15 THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

John W. Becker

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR

PACKING PERISHABLE GOODS

Serial No. 09/492,811

Filed: January 28, 2000

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 Attorney Dkt. No. 7436.100A

Group Art Unit: 3721

Examiner: H. Desai

RECEIVED

NOV 2 7 2002

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Sir:

November 26, 2002

## RESPONSE AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

This is in response to the Office Action dated September 16, 2002. At the outset, the Applicant would like to express its appreciation for the courtesies granted to Applicant's attorney in the interview conducted November 21, 2002 with Examiners Desai and Sipos.

Claims 13-20 and 22-51 remain pending in the application. Pending claims 13-20 and 22-51 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Shea (U.S. Patent No. 5,314,087). In the alternative, claims 13-45 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shea in view of Aghassipour (U.S. Patent No. 5,595,320), and further in view of McCord (U.S. Patent No. 728,749). These rejections are respectfully traversed in view of the following comments.

Every pending claim requires a top formed from at least one flap where the flap is integral with a sidewall, specifically pending claims 13-20, 22-33, 37-42 and 46-51 require a top

formed from first and second side flaps and front and back flaps, where the flaps are integral with the first and second sidewalls, the front end and the back end respectively. Pending claims 34-36 require a top form from first and second side flaps where the side flaps are integral with the first and second sidewalls respectively. Pending claims 43-45 require a top formed from a flap that is integral with a sidewall.

None of the asserted prior art teaches a top made from a flap that is integral with at least one of the sidewalls, the front end or the back end. Shea '087 teaches only a separate lid that rests upon an open area of the inner liner. (See lid 15 in Fig. 2 and lid 4 in Fig. 4). Aghassipour '320 teaches an insulating bag that always has one open and one closed end. It fails to teach any type of flap that would form the top for the insulating bag. McCord '749 also teaches a separate lid "B" that is placed on top of the sidewalls. As a result, none of the references asserted taken alone or in combination teach or suggest the use of specific elements of the claimed invention (i.e. the top), therefore the rejection of these claims must be withdrawn.

It is also noted that claims 13-20, 22-33, 37-42 and 46-51 require sidewalls and front and back walls "forming an integral moisture proof seal with said bottom and each other." Pending claims 34-36 require "first and second sidewalls forming an integral moisture proof seal with said bottom and each other." Likewise, claim 43 requires a "sidewall forming an integral moisture proof seal with and along said entire periphery of said bottom."

In view of the foregoing limitations of the currently pending claims, it is respectfully submitted that any combination of the prior art of record would likewise fail to meet the limitations of the "top" and "integral moisture proof seal" required by all of the pending claims. There is simply no teaching or suggestion of this construction in any of the cited references.

McCord, for example, teaches foldable pasteboard boxes such as commonly used by milliners

for holding ladies hats and bonnets. McCord nowhere states that his collapsible box construction is to be utilized, or for that matter, capable of being utilized to transport sensitive contents in a moisture controlled environment such as the present invention.

Additionally, many of the features of the dependant claims are likewise not taught or rendered obvious by the prior art, taken alone or in combination. For example, dependant claim 18 recites "a selectable and resealable means for securing a portion of said first side flap to a portion of said second side flap", and dependant claim 19 recites "a means for sealing said top." These features are not shown or suggested in the prior art. Claims 27 and 41 recite "the height of said first and second sidewalls, said front and back walls and said first, second front and back flaps is selectively adjustable." The features of these claims are nowhere disclosed or suggested in the prior art.

Dependant claims 48 and 49 teach an arrangement where a portion of the inner container is in "direct contact" with the outer container when it is inserted in the outer container. Shea explicitly teaches away from the arrangement of claims 48 and 49. Any modification of Shea in this regard would impermissibly destroy the intended purpose of the invention and function thereof. This is because Shea requires a "spacer tray 3" to provide a partially surrounding layer of air 11 that "allows further maximization of the thermal containment properties of the radiant barrier material." (See col. 3, lines 59-63 of Shea '087). Aghassipour and McCord do not teach any arrangement where an inner insulating container fits into an outer container.

The Shea reference likewise does not have any teaching or suggesting of combining the structure or properties of the inner liner with either the outer container or the inner liner into a single container. In fact, the entire teaching of the Shea reference is completely away from this concept. For example, when only the inner liner is used without the inner container, a spacer is

used, then Shea teaches in stark contrast to Applicant's invention that there should be no direct

contact of the insulation with the outer paper board container (See e.g. col. 1, lines 22-28; col. 3,

lines 59-61 and col. 4).

In summary, it is submitted that the inner liner of Shea does not teach integral, foldable,

side, front or back flaps that fold to provide a top as in the claims of the present invention. Shea

also teaches directly away from an arrangement where the inner liner is in direct contact with the

outer container. Shea, furthermore does not teach that the height of the flaps and sidewalls is

selectively adjustable and in contrast requires the radiant barrier material to be loosely encased in

a pouch so that it can float therein in contrast to the present invention which requires the barrier

material to be stationary and extend through the entire sidewall and flap as in the present

invention (See e.g. claims 49-51).

The Aghassipour and McCord references cited by the Examiner, likewise provide no

teaching or suggestion to make up for the above listed deficiencies in the Shea reference.

Applicant respectfully submits that all claims are in condition for allowance and

respectfully requests same. If the Examiner has any questions concerning this Response he is

invited to contact Applicant's attorney at the below listed telephone number.

Date: 11/26/02

Respectfully submitted,

Thomas P. Liniak

Registration No. 33,415

Attorney for Applicant

Liniak, Berenato, & White 6550 Rock Spring Drive, Suite 240 Bethesda, Maryland 20817

Betnesda, Maryland 2081 /

(301) 896-0600

4